**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**W.P. No. 13523 of 2006**

**Dated: 14.07.2006**

Between

Adapa Rama Rao, S/o Sattiraju, Conductor, E-63258, Kakinada Depot, APSRTC, E.G. District and others.

**….. Petitioners.**

And

The Personnel Officer, East Godavari Region, Rajahmundry, APSRTC, E.G. District and another.

**….. Respondents.**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**W.P. No. 13523 of 2006**

**Oral order**:

None appears for the petitioners. Heard the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents-APSRTC.

The petitioners, who are employed in the respondents-APSRTC, have filed this writ petition assailing the proceedings dated 06.04.2006, issued by the 1st respondent-Personnel Officer, transferring them from Kakinada Depot to various other Depots on the ground of long standing at the verge of their retirement.

Transfer is an incidence of service, and is made keeping in view the administrative exigencies and needs of the organization. This Court normally does not interfere in matter of transfer, unless it is shown that the transfer has been effected *mala fide* or for extraneous reasons.

A reading of the impugned order of transfer would disclose that the employees, who have been working since long time in Kakinada Depot, have been transferred, and as the petitioners are said to be long standing employees at the present place, no exception can be taken to the action of the 1st respondent in transferring them from Kakinada Depot to various Depots, and more so when transfer is an incidence of service.

Be that as it may, if the petitioners are aggrieved by the impugned transfer orders, they are at liberty to make appropriate

representation seeking their retention at the present place, and if any such representation is made, it is open for the respondents-Corporation to consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law.

With the above observation, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

**N.V. RAMANA, J.**

Date: 14.07.2006
Nsr/Grk